

# Syllabus For M.A 2nd Semester Courses in Public Policy (June 2019 onwards)

- Contents:
- Theory Syllabus for Courses:
  - o PPCC0801 ECONOMY, SOCIETY AND PUBLIC POLICY
  - $\circ \ \ \mathsf{PPCC0802} \mathsf{QUANTITATIVE} \ \mathsf{METHODS} \ \mathsf{AND} \ \mathsf{DATA} \ \mathsf{ANALYTICS}$
  - PPEC0801 ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC POLICY
  - PPEC0802 GENDER AND PUBLIC POLICY
  - PPEC0803 HEALTH POLICY
- Evaluation and Assessment guidelines.

M.A.-I Public Policy Course Code: PPCC0801

Course Title: ECONOMY, SOCIETY AND PUBLIC POLICY

#### **Learning Objectives:**

- 1. To provide the students the skills to do quantitative analysis of social and economic policy.
- 2. To bring in a multidisciplinary to the study of economics and develop a policy and problem-solving orientation.

Number of lectures: 60

Unit 1 (15 lectures)

#### **Economic and Political Systems: A Historical View**

Economics and the Economy;

The Capitalist Revolution;

Measuring Income and Living Standards.

Unit 2 (15 lectures)

#### Social Interactions and Economic Outcomes: Institutions, Fairness and Efficiency

Technology, Population and Growth;

Innovation and Profit;

Handling Stagnation.

Unit 3 (15 lectures)

#### Labour, Work and Employment: Wellbeing and Scarcity

Labour and Production:

Opportunity Cost;

Decision Making and Choices.

Unit 4 (15 lectures)

#### The Firm: Employees, Managers and Owners

Firms, Markets and Division of Labour;

Determinants of Factor Rewards;

Objectives of Firms.

#### **List of Recommended Reference Books**

Note: Core-econ is the basic book. It can be found at www.core-econ.org.

- 1. Ahuja, H.L., (2010), Advanced Economic Theory, S. Chand
- 2. Koutsoyiannis, A., (1996), Modern Microeconomics, Macmillan
- 3. Mankiw, G, (2007), Principles of Microeconomics, Thomson South-Western
- 4. Roll, Eric, (1992), History of Economic Thought, Faber and Faber
- 5. Sen, Amartya, (2010), The Idea of Justice, Penguin

\*\*\*\*\*

# M.A.-I Public Policy Course Code: PPCC0802

# Course Title: QUANTITATIVE METHODS AND DATA ANALYTICS

#### **Learning Objectives:**

- 1. To acquaint students with the basic statistical tools needed for analyzing data so as to evaluate the socio-economic impact of public policy and further evidence-based research.
- 2. To contextualize statistical analysis into the framework of research methodology to enable students to think like a quantitative researcher.

#### Number of lectures: 60

Unit 1 (15 lectures)

#### **Probability and Statistical Distribution; Inferential Statistics**

Basics of Probability and Probability Distributions, Addition and Multiplication Theorems, Binomial Distribution, Normal Distribution, Poisson Distribution; t-Distribution;

Formulation of Statistical Hypothesis; Type I and Type II Errors;

ANOVA; Non-Parametric Tests, Chi Square Test of Independence and Goodness of Fit.

Unit 2 (15 lectures)

#### **Correlation and Regression; Basic Econometrics**

Correlation Analysis; Classical Linear Regression Model; Multiple and Logistic Regression; Multicollinearity; Autocorrelation and Heteroscedasticity;

Estimation using Regression and Standard Error of Estimate.

<u>Unit 3</u> (15 lectures)

## **Practical Issues in Quantitative Research**

Sampling Techniques, Random and Non-Random Sampling Methods; Sampling Errors;

Secondary Data; Use of Secondary Data in Quantitative Research; Survey Methodology; Types of Data Available from NSSO, Census of India and Other Sources;

Capturing Inequality: Lorenz Curve and Gini Co-efficient.

Unit 4 (15 lectures)

#### **Training in Data Analysis Software**

R-Progamming Software

#### List of Recommended Reference Books

- 1. Hatekar, Neeraj (2010). Principles of Econometrics: An Introduction (Using R). New Delhi: Sage Publications.
- 2. Huff, Darrell (1993). How to Lie with Statistics. New York: W W Norton.
- 3. Mukherjee, Chandan, Howard White & Marc Wuyts (1997). Econometrics and Data Analysis for Developing Countries. New York: Routledge.
- 4. Nagar, A. L. & R. K. Das (2014). Basic Statistics. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
- 5. Weiss, Neil A (2016). Introductory Statistics: Global Edition. Essex: Pearson Education.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	

M.A.-I Public Policy Course Code: PPEC0801

Course Title: ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC POLICY

#### **Learning Objectives:**

- 1. To understand the causes of environmental degradation, links between environment and development and international environment policy debates.
- 2. To gain knowledge of environmental governance, environmental laws, judicial pronouncements and policies in India.
- 3. Engage in ethical decision making and critical thinking in providing solutions to environmental problems.

Number of lectures: 60

Unit 1 (15 lectures)

#### **Understanding the Links Between Environment and Development**

Causes of Environmental Destruction: The Eco-Simplification and Contamination Perspectives; Environmental Driving Forces: Role of Population, Technology, Affluence and Poverty, Anthropocentrism, Contempo-centrism;

Political Economy: Market Failure; Failure to have Markets; Common Property Resources

<u>Unit 2</u> (15 lectures)

#### **Environmental Protection Principles**

Sustainable Development;

The Polluter Pays Principle;

The Precautionary Principle.

Unit 3 (15 lectures)

#### **International Environment Policy Debates**

Stratospheric Ozone Depletion;

Energy and Climate Change.

Unit 4 (15 lectures)

#### Administrative Framework, Policies and Judiciary

Legal and Regulatory Framework for Environmental Protection in India;

Assessment of Environmental Policy and MOEF; Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA); Judicial Pronouncements; National Green Tribunal, Case Study: The Bhopal Gas Tragedy

#### **List of Recommended Reference Books**

- 1. Cochran C.L. & Malone E., (2007), Public Policy: Perspectives and Choices, Viva Books, New Delhi
- 2. Giddens A. and Sutton P.W., (2013), The Environment in Sociology, Wiley India.
- 3. Gitanjali Nain Gill, 'Environmental Justice in India: The National Green Tribunal and Expert Members,' in Transnational Environmental Law, 5:1 (2016), pp. 175–205 © 2015 Cambridge University Press.
- 4. Guha, Ramchandra, & Juan, Martinez-Allez, (1998), Varieties of Environmentalism:

- Essays North and South, Oxford University Press, New Delhi.
- 5. Hardin, Garrett, (2009), The Tragedy of the Commons. In Reynolds, Blackmore and Smith (ed.) The Environmental Responsibility Reader, Zed Books London.
- 6. Harris, Paul G., (2014), Routledge Handbook of Global Environmental Politics.
- 7. Hempel, Lampont C., (1998), Environmental Governance: The Global Challenge. East West Press, New Delhi.
- 8. Ministry of Environment and Forests: National Environment Policy, 2006.
- 9. Ministry of Environment and Forests: Strategic Plan, 2012- 13 to 2016-17.
- 10. Ostrom, Elinor, Dietz, Thomas, & Stern, Paul, (2009), The Struggle to Govern the Commons.' in in Reynolds, Blackmore and Smith ed. "The Environmental Responsibility Reader", Zed Books, London, 2009
- 11. Pepper, D., (2000), Environmentalism. In Browning G., et al, Understanding Contemporary Society: Theories of the Present, Sage Publications, London.
- 12. Rangarajan, M. (ed.), (2008), Environmental Issues in India: A Reader, Dorling Kindersley India.
- 13. Richard J Stillman II, 'Public Administration: Concepts and Cases, Wadsworth, Cengage Learning, Ninth Edition
- 14. Sharon, Beder, (2007), Environmental Principles and Policies An Interdisciplinary Introduction. Earthscan, New Delhi.
- 15. Susan Baker, 'Sustainable Development,' Routledge, 2006.
- 16. The World Bank, (1992), World Development Report 1992: Development and the Environment, The World Bank, Washington, DC.
- 17. The World Bank, (2009), World Development Report 2010: Development and Climate Change, The World Bank, Washington, DC.
- 18. Zia Mody, 'Justice Delayed: The Loss through Law, UCC vs UOI, 1989 in 10 Judgements That Changed India, Penguin India, 2013

\*\*\*\*\*

M.A.-I Public Policy Course Code: PPEC0802

**Course Title: GENDER AND PUBLIC POLICY** 

#### **Learning Objectives:**

- 1. To get students to understand the economic, social and political structures that underscore gender inequity as well as explore available policy mechanisms to mitigate it.
- 2. To understand that public policies are not only an important component in the ongoing construction of gender differences but also a site where gender issues are renegotiated.

Number of lectures: 60

Unit 1 (15 lectures)

#### **Perspectives on Gender**

Gender as a social construct;

Theoretical Analysis of Gender

Gender and Social Stratification: How and why do men and women differ?

Unit 2 (15 lectures)

#### Administrative Framework and Gender

Government Mechanism, National Commission for Women;

Laws with Respect to Gender – Inheritance, Adoption, Child Marriage, Surrogacy.

Unit 3 (15 lectures)

#### **Political Issues and Gender**

Politics of Identity, Women Movements and the State;

Violence against Women – Laws to counter this issue.

Unit 4 (15 lectures)

#### **Economic Issues and Gender**

Poverty, Work force and Gender Budgeting;

Government Schemes for Women Empowerment.

#### List of Recommended Reference Books and Articles

- 1. Aruna Gnanadason, 'Resisting Injustice Seeking New Ways to Speak!' Crosscurrents, June 2016, pp.215-226.
- 2. Anuradha Seth, 'Gender Equality and Inclusive Growth,' ILO Presentation, Nov 12, 2019
- 3. Bhumika Jhamb, Yamini Mishra, 'Gender Responsive Budgeting in India:Time to Ask Questions December 12, 2015 vol 1 no 50 EPW Economic & Political Weekly, pp. 55-62
- 4. Campbell, P, J., Mackinnon, A. and Stevens, C. R., (2010), An Introduction to Global Studies. Wiley Blackwell.
- 5. Devaki Jain and Diane Elson edited, 'Harvesting Feminist Knowledge For Public Policy, Rebuilding Progress,' Sage, 2011.
- 6. Geetanjali Misra, Vrinda Marwah, 'Reflections on Inclusion of Men in Women's Rights Programmes,' Economic & Political Weekly EPW March 28, 2015 vol 1 no 13, pp. 62-68
- 7. John J. Macionis, Sociology,' Sixteenth Edition, Global Edition, Pearson, 2018.
- 8. Johnson, Allan, G., (2001), Human Arrangements: An Introduction to Sociology, Brown and Benchmark Publishers.

- 9. Laxmi Murthy, 'From Mathura to Bhanwari,' June 8, 2013 Vol XLVIII no 23 EPW Economic & Political Weekly, pp 16-18.
- 10. Maggie Humm, 'Feminisms: A Reader, 'Routledge, 2014
- 11. Marini, Margaret Mooney, (1990), Sex and Gender: What Do We Know? Sociological Forum, 5 (1), pp. 95-120.
- 12. Mazumdar, Veena, Political Ideology of the Women's Movement's Engagement with Law', Retrieved from www.cwdw.ac.in/OCPaper/ PoliticalideologyVM.pdf
- 13. Nivedita Menon, 'State/Gender/Community: Citizenship in Contemporary India,' Economic and Political Weekly, Vol. 33, No. 5 (Jan. 31 Feb. 6, 1998), pp. PE3-PE1
- 14. Nivedita Menon, 'Elusive 'Woman': Feminism and Women's Reservation Bill Author(s): Economic and Political Weekly, Vol. 35, No. 43/44 (Oct. 21 Nov. 3, 2000), pp. 3835-3839
- 15. Sadhana, Arya. The National Commission for Women: A Study in Performance, www.cwds.ac.n/OCPaper/NCWreport.pdf
- 16. Shampa Bhattacharjee, Viktoria Hnatkovska and Amartya Lahiri, 'The Evolution of Gender Gaps in India,' In NCAER INDIA POLICY FORUM 2014/15 VOL 11
- 17. Shilpa Phadke, 'Thirty Years On Women's Studies Reflects on the Women's Movement,' Economic and Political Weekly October 25, 2003, pp. 4567-4576
- 18. The National Commission for Women, Laws Related to Women in India, http://ncw.nic.in/from Laws Related to Women aaspx
- 19. The National Mission for the Empowerment of Women, Ministry of Women and Child Development, GoI website http://www.nmew.gov.in/
- 20. World Bank (2012), 'World Development Report: Gender Equality and Development', Washington D.C.

\*\*\*\*\*

M.A.-I Public Policy Course Code: PPEC0803

**Course Title: HEALTH POLICY** 

#### **Learning Objectives:**

1. To learn to use strategies and techniques of health policy analysis, including how to analyze, frame and synthesize information about a problem, develop alternative solutions, and recommend a course of action.

2. To learn about key health issues in India including the health status, health outcomes, health systems, government and other health programs, and various policy options to address the most pressing needs.

Number of lectures: 60

Unit 1 (15 lectures)

#### **Theoretical Understanding of Health and Public Policy**

An Economic Perspective on Public Health in India; Disparities in Health Outcomes; Health Planning; Health Statistics in India;

Understanding Public Health Policy; Global health; Role of International Agencies in Health Policies in India

Unit 2 (15 lectures)

#### **Public Health System in India**

Overview of Public Health Policy in India – Pre-Independence till Launch of National Rural Health Mission in 2005;

Public Health System in India – Government Agencies and Budgets; Primary and Tertiary Care; National Health Mission;

National Health & Nutrition Programmes– Programmes/Schemes related to Communicable & Non-Communicable Diseases; RMNCH+A; ICDS scheme; Public Distribution System;

Private Sector in Health – Nature of Sector; Regulation of Private Healthcare Providers - Clinical Establishment Act; Public-Private Partnerships

Unit 3 (15 lectures)

#### **Social Determinants of Health**

Introduction to Social Determinants of Health; Health Vulnerabilities owing to Poverty, Caste, Tribe, Location;

Gender as a Social Determinant of Health; Gender's Interaction with other Determinants of Health; Women's Health Movement in India; Abortion; Sex Selection and Violence against Women;

Occupational Health - Workers Health; Health Hazards & Safeguards; Environmental Health Concerns

Unit 4 (15 lectures)

### **Social Science Research in Health Policy**

Different kinds of Research in Public Health - Action Research; Policy Analysis; Randomised Control Trials; Ethnography;

Visit to Dilaasa Crisis Centre in Bhabha Hospital, Bandra.

#### **List of Recommended Reference Books**

- 1. Baggot, R., (2000), Analysing Public Health' in Public Health: Policy and Politics
- 2. Balasubramaniam & Santhi, (2011), How does NRHM help tribal women? A study of financial incentives for maternal health services in Heggadadevanakote taluk, Mysore district, Karnataka.
- 3. Baru, R., (2013), Challenges for Regulating the Private Health Services in India for Achieving Universal Health Care. Indian Journal of Public Health, 57(4).
- 4. Coggon, J., (2012), Public Health Policy. In What Makes Health Public: A Critical Evaluation of Moral, Legal and Political Claims in Public Health
- 5. Das Gupta, Monica, (2005), Public Health in India: An Overview, World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 3787, December.
- 6. Deosthali, Padma et. al, (2011), Poor standards of care in small, private hospitals in Maharashtra, India: implications for public–private partnerships for maternity care. Reproductive Health Matters, 19(37), pp.32–41.
- 7. Khanday, Zamrooda & Tanwar, Yavnika, (2013), Exploring Religion based Discrimination in Health Facilities in Mumbai, CEHAT, Mumbai.
- 8. Nandraj, S.et. al., (2001), Private Health sector in India. CEHAT, IIT Madras and CSMCH-JNU.
- 9. Peter Berman, Rajeev Ahuja, Laveesh Bhandari, (2010), The Impoverishing Effect of Healthcare Payments in India: New Methodology and Findings. Economic and Political Weekly, 45 (16), pp.65-71.
- 10. Philips, S. P., (2005), Defining and measuring gender: A social determinant of health whose time has come, International Journal on Equity in Health, 4:11.
- 11. Qadeer, Imrana, (2011), Public Health in India: Critical Reflections. Daanish Books, New Delhi.
- 12. Rama Baru, Arnab Acharya, Sanghmitra Acharya, A K Shiva Kumar, K Nagaraj, (2010), Inequities in Access to Health Services in India: Caste, Class and Region. Economic and Political Weekly, 45 (38), pp.49-58.
- 13. Ramaiah, A., (2015), Health Status of Dalits in India, Economic and Political Weekly, 50 (43), pp. 70-74.
- 14. Rao, Mohan, Godajkar, Prachin, Baru, Rama, Bisht, Ramila, Mehrotra, Ritu Priya, Dasgupta, Rajib, Reddy, Sunita, & Bajpai, Vikas, (2015), Draft National Health Policy 2015: A Public Health Analysis, Economic and Political Weekly, 50(17).
- 15. Sen, G., Ostlin, P., & George, A., (2007), Unequal, Unfair, Ineffective and Inefficient Gender Inequity in Health: Why it exists and how we can change it Final Report to the WHO Commission on Social Determinants of Health.
- 16. Sen, Kasturi & Koivusalo, Meri, (1998), Health Care Reforms and Developing Countries: A Critical Overview, International Journal of HP & M, pp 199-215.
- 17. Whitehead, Margaret (1992). The Concepts and Principles of Equity and Health. Regional Office Copenhagen, WHO.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Page 9** of **17** 

# Evaluation and Assessment: PPCC0801, PPCC0802, PPEC0801, PPEC0802 and PPEC0803 courses

**Evaluation (Theory): Total marks per course - 100.** 

CIA-40 marks

CIA 1: Written test -20 marks

CIA 2: Written Test / Assignment / Presentation / Field Trip & Report -20 marks

**End Semester Examination – 60 marks** 

One question from each unit for 15 marks, with internal choice. Total marks per question with choice -20 to 25.

# **Template for PPCC0801 Course End Semester Examination in Semester 8**

UNITS	KNOWLEDGE	UNDERSTANDING	APPLICATION	TOTAL
			and	<b>MARKS-</b>
			<b>ANALYSES</b>	Per unit
1	8	7	0	15
2	8	7	0	15
3	8	7	0	15
4	8	7	0	15
-TOTAL -	32	28	0	60
Per objective				
% WEIGHTAGE	53.33	46.66	0	100%

#### Template for PPCC0802 Course End Semester Examination in Semester

UNITS	KNOWLEDGE	UNDERSTANDING	APPLICATION	TOTAL
			and	<b>MARKS-</b>
			<b>ANALYSES</b>	Per unit
1	8	7	0	15
2	8	7	0	15
3	7	8	0	15
4	7	8	0	15
-TOTAL -	30	30	0	60
Per objective				
% WEIGHTAGE	50	50	0	100%

# Template for PPEC0801 Course End Semester Examination in Semester 8

UNITS	KNOWLEDGE	UNDERSTANDING	APPLICATION	TOTAL
			and	MARKS-
			<b>ANALYSES</b>	Per unit
1	5	5	5	15
2	7	8	0	15
3	7	8	0	15
4	5	5	5	15
-TOTAL -	24	26	10	60
Per objective				
% WEIGHTAGE	40	43.33	16.66	100%

# **Template for PPEC0802 Course End Semester Examination in Semester 8**

UNITS	KNOWLEDGE	UNDERSTANDING	APPLICATION	TOTAL
			and	MARKS-
			<b>ANALYSES</b>	Per unit
1	5	10	0	15
2	5	10	0	15
3	5	5	5	15
4	5	5	5	15
-TOTAL -	20	30	10	60
Per objective				
% WEIGHTAGE	33.33	50	16.66	100%

# Template for PPEC0803 Course End Semester Examination in Semester 8

UNITS	KNOWLEDGE	UNDERSTANDING	APPLICATION	TOTAL
			and	MARKS-
			<b>ANALYSES</b>	Per unit
1	5	10	0	15
2	5	10	0	15
3	5	5	5	15
4	5	5	5	15
-TOTAL -	20	30	10	60
Per objective				
% WEIGHTAGE	33.33	50	16.66	100%

# St. Xavier's College, Mumbai. **ASSESSMENT OF WRITTEN ASSIGNMENT- TYPE - I**

Dept.	of Public Policy; Cou	rse Code		Date	Roll No	
Name	of Student:		UID	No	Marks	/ 20
Assess individ circle t	of Assignment: ment Grid: Place one tick ual rows. In boxes that hav he correct marks. ment of Written Assignm	e more than one	e set of marks, car	l mark should re	eflect the positions	of ticks in the licable and
100%		80-100%	60-80%	40- 60%	20-40%	0-20%
		(17-20 Marks)	(13-16 Marks)	(9-12 Marks)	(5-8 Marks)	(0-4 Marks)
60 %	Content Impression of wide reading (research), good knowledge and comprehensive understanding. Evidence of thoughtful input. Ability to critique, Bibliography mentioned	Excellent  12 / 11 / 10	Good 9/8	Satisfactory 7/6	Poor 5 / 4	Very Poor 3 / 2 / 1
12	Marks	12/11/10	9/8	7 / 0	3 / 4	3/2/1
30 %	Organization Effective presentation, logical format, clear statement of ideas, relevant details, sequence of information and ideas could be easily followed, references / footnotes / endnotes	Effective organization	Few problems	Many problems	Inadequate presentation. Ineffective format, communication of ideas, lack of relevant details – but an attempt	No attempt to organize
	Marks	6	5	4	3	2
5%	Vocabulary Marks	Richness of vocabulary	Very good range of vocabulary with some errors	vocabulary with some errors	Small range of vocabulary with errors	Little of no effort to demonstrate vocabulary knowledge
		1	1	0.5	0.5	0
5%	Grammar, spellings, mechanics	Grammar, spellings punctuations correct	Very few errors	Some errors	Many errors	No effort
01	Marks	1	1	0.5	0.5	0
Comr	nents: and Signature of Facu	ılty				

# St. Xavier's College, Mumbai. **ASSESSMENT OF WRITTEN ASSIGNMENT- TYPE - II**

Dept. of Public Policy; Course Code			Date Roll No			0		
Name	of Student:		UII	D No	Marks _	/ 20		
Assessindivid circle to Assessin	Title of Assignment:  Assessment Grid: Place one tick in each appropriate row. Overall mark should reflect the positions of ticks in the ndividual rows. In boxes that have more than one set of marks, cancel out the marks that are not applicable and circle the correct marks.  Assessment of Written Assignment: 20 Marks							
100%	ASSIGNMENT	80-100% (17-20 Marks)	60-80% (13-16 Marks)	40- 60% (9-12 Marks)	20-40% (5-8 Marks)	0-20% (0-4 Marks)		
50 %	Content Impression of wide reading (research), good knowledge and comprehensive understanding. Evidence of thoughtful input. Ability to critique, Bibliography mentioned Marks	Excellent	Good 8/7	Satisfactory  6/5	Poor 4 / 3	Very Poor		
30 %	Organization Effective presentation, logical format, clear statement of ideas, relevant details, sequence of information and ideas could be easily followed, references / footnotes / endnotes	Effective organization	Few problems	Many problems	Inadequate presentation. Ineffective format, communication of ideas, lack of relevant details – but an attempt	No attempt to organize		
06	Marks	6/5	4	3	2	1		
10%	Vocabulary Marks	Richness of vocabulary	Very good range of vocabulary with some errors 1.5	vocabulary	Small range of vocabulary with errors	Little of no effort to demonstrate vocabulary knowledge 0.5		
10%	Grammar, spellings, mechanics	Grammar, spellings punctuations correct	Very few errors	Some errors	Many errors	No effort		
02	Marks	2	1.5	1	1	0.5		
	Comments:  Name and Signature of Faculty							

#### St. Xavier's College, Mumbai.

#### ASSESSMENT OF INDIVIDUAL ORAL PRESENTATION -A

Dept. of Public Policy; Course Code \_\_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_ Roll No \_\_\_\_\_

_								
Name	of Student:		UID	No	Marks	/ 20		
<b>Asses</b> position	Title of oral presentation:							
30%	PRESENTATION	80-100%	60-80%	40- 60%	20-40%	0-20%		
	Presentation skills	Varied rate of delivery, Changed pitch for emphasis, No distracting mannerisms ,good eye contact, Confident body language, Connected with audience	Good but a few weaknesses	Good but a few weaknesses with one pronounced weakness	Weaknesses	No speech variation, Distracting mannerisms, no eye contact, dull, and reading from notes/visual aids		
2.0	Warks	2.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	0.5		
10 %	Use of Visuals (Efforts to Aid Presentation)	Very good, relevant visuals, good font size/ image size, Appropriate number of words and images per slide, good colour schemes	weaknesses	Good but a few weaknesses with one pronounced weakness		Very poor visuals, visuals did not contribute to the presentation		
2.0	Marks	2.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	0.5		
5%	Timing and Pace	Right length and	Right Length but	Long or short	Too long <i>or</i>	Had to be stopped		

too slow or too

0.5

1.0

Clear, quite

precise

rushed

and

rushed

Almost

too slow or too

0.5

inaudible *and* 

0.5

difficult to

understand

too short

Almost

0

inaudible *or* 

understand

0.5

Total marks for presentation: \_\_\_\_\_ out of 06 marks.

well paced

1.0

Very clear and very

1.0

of Talk

----- Marks -----

----- Marks -----

Comprehensibility precise

Audibility and

01

5%

01

or less than 50% of

0

0

Inaudible **or** 

completely

very difficult incomprehensible

the allocated time

**Content: 70% (14 Marks)** 

70%	CONTENT	80-100%	60-80%	40- 60%	20-40%	0-20%
35%	Knowledge and Understanding Innovation Impression of wide reading, good knowledge and complete understanding	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor
07	Marks	7.0	6.0 / 5.0	4.0 / 3.0	2.0	1.0
10%	Structure of Presentation Logical Structure, Clear Introduction, Body and Relevant Conclusion, sequence of information and ideas could be easily followed, Citation of source material	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor
02	Marks	2.0	2.0	1.0	0.5	0.5
5%	Key Points/ Themes Identified Key Points, Kept to the points throughout the presentation- did not wander	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor
01	Marks	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	0
10%	Ability to answer Questions Answers accurate and full of confidence	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor
02	Marks	2.0	1.5	1.0	0.5	0
10%	Creation of Interest/ Audience Participation Created interest in the topic	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor
02	Marks	2.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	0.5

Total for content: out of 14; Total marks for oral presentat	ion: out of <b>20</b>
Comments:	
Name of the Faculty	·
Signature of the Faculty	

# St. Xavier's College, Mumbai.

# ASSESSMENT OF INDIVIDUAL ORAL PRESENTATION -B

Dept.	ept. of Public Policy; Course Code			Date		Roll No		
Name	of Student:		UID	No	Marks		_/ 20	
	*	n:						
<b>Assessment Grid :</b> Place one tick in each appropriate row. Overall mark should reflect the								
positio	ons of ticks in the	individual rows						
Prese	ntation: 40 % (8	marks)						
40%	PRESENTATION	80-100%	60-80%	40- 60%	20-40%	0-20%		

<b>Prese</b>	Presentation: 40 % (8 marks)										
40%	PRESENTATION	80-100%	60-80%	40- 60%	20-40%	0-20%					
03	Presentation skills	Varied rate of delivery, Changed pitch for emphasis, No distracting mannerisms ,good eye contact, Confident body language, Connected with audience 3.0		Good but a few weaknesses with one pronounced weakness	Weaknesses	No speech variation, Distracting mannerisms, no eye contact, dull, and reading from notes/visual aids					
03		3.0	2.3	2.0	1.3	1.0					
03	Use of Visuals ( Efforts to Aid Presentation)	Very good, relevant visuals, good font size/ image size, Appropriate number of words and images per slide, good colour schemes 3.0	Good but a few weaknesses	Good but a few weaknesses with one pronounced weakness		Very poor visuals, visuals did not contribute to the presentation					
03	Marks	3.0	2.5	2.0	1.5	1.0					
5%	Timing and Pace of Talk	Right length and well paced		Long or short and too slow or too rushed 0.5	Too long <i>or</i> too short  0.5	Had to be stopped or less than 50% of the allocated time					
5%	Audibility and Comprehensibility	Very clear and very precise		Almost inaudible <i>and</i> difficult to understand		Inaudible <b>or</b> completely incomprehensible					
01	Marks	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	0					

Total marks for presentation: \_\_\_\_\_ out of 08 marks.

**Content: 60% (12 Marks)** 

60%	CONTENT	80-100%	60-80%	40- 60%	20-40%	0-20%
25%	Knowledge and Understanding Innovation Impression of wide reading, good knowledge and complete understanding	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor
05	Marks	5.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	1.0
10%	Structure of Presentation Logical Structure, Clear Introduction, Body and Relevant Conclusion, sequence of information and ideas could be easily followed, Citation of	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor
02	source material Marks	2.0	1.5	1.0	0.5	0.5
5%	Key Points/ Themes Identified Key Points, Kept to the points throughout the presentation- did not	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor
01	wander.	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	0
10%	Ability to answer Questions Answers accurate and full of	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor
02	confidence Marks	2.0	1.5	1.0	0.5	0
10%	Creation of Interest/ Audience Participation Created interest in the topic.	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Very Poor
02	Marks	2.0	1.5	1.0	0.5	0

Total for content: out of 12; Total marks for oral presentation:	out of <b>20</b>
Comments:	
Name of the Faculty	
Name of the Pacuity	
Signature of the Faculty	